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## 1. Introduction

1.1. Let $\mathscr{I}_{n}$ be the class of all trigonometric polynomials

$$
t_{n}(\theta)=\sum_{v=-n}^{n} c_{v} e^{i v \theta}
$$

of degree $n$. It was found by Zygmund [15] that if $t_{n} \in \mathscr{T}_{n}$, then, for $1 \leqslant p<+\infty$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\left|t_{n}^{\prime}(\theta)\right|^{p} d \theta\right)^{1 / p} \leqslant n\left(\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\left|t_{n}(\theta)\right|^{p} d \theta\right)^{1 / p}=:\left\|t_{n}\right\|_{[-\pi, \pi], p} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since (1) was deduced from M. Riesz's interpolation formula [12] by means of Minkowski's inequality, it was not clear whether the restriction on $p$ was indeed essential. This question was open for a long time. Finally, Arestov [2] proved that (1) remains true for $0<p<1$ and indeed for $p=0$ as well, where

$$
\left\|t_{n}\right\|_{[-\pi . \pi], 0}:=\exp \left(\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \log \left|t_{n}(\theta)\right| d \theta\right) .
$$

The difficulty which was associated with Zygmund's inequality (1) is characteristic of several other $L^{p}$ inequalities involving polynomials, 26
trigonometric polynomials, etc. The purpose of this paper is to mention a few which, like (1), can be extended to $p \in[0,1)$.
1.2. Let $\mathscr{P}_{n}$ be the set of all polynomials

$$
P(z)=\sum_{v=0}^{n} a_{v} z^{v}
$$

of degree at most $n$. For $P \in \mathscr{P}_{n}$ define

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|P\|_{p} & :=\left(\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\left|P\left(e^{i \theta}\right)\right|^{p} d \theta\right)^{1 / p} \quad(0<p<+\infty), \\
\|P\|_{\infty} & :=\max _{|z|=1}|P(z)|
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\|P\|_{0}:=\exp \left(\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \log \left|P\left(e^{i \theta}\right)\right| d \theta\right) .
$$

The above result of Zygmund and Arestov says that, in particular, for $P \in \mathscr{P}_{n}$ and $0 \leqslant p \leqslant+\infty$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|P^{\prime}\right\|_{p} \leqslant n\|P\|_{p}, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where equality holds if and only if $P(z)=c z^{n}$. If $P(z) \neq 0$ for $|z|<1$ then, for $1 \leqslant p \leqslant+\infty$, inequality ( 2 ) can be replaced by $[4,7]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|P^{\prime}\right\|_{p} \leqslant n\|P\|_{p} /\left\|1+z^{n}\right\|_{p} . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We prove
Theorem 1. Let $P \in \mathscr{P}_{n}$ and $P(z) \neq 0$ for $|z|<1$. Then (3) holds for all $p \in[0,+\infty]$.
1.3. It is a simple consequence of a classical result of Hardy [6] that if $P \in \mathscr{P}_{n}$, then [11, Theorem 5.5], for $R \geqslant 1$ and $p \geqslant 0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|P(R z)\|_{p} \leqslant R^{n}\|P\|_{P} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where equality is attained if and only if $P(z)=c z^{n}$. If $P(z) \neq 0$ for $|z|<1$, then for $1 \leqslant p \leqslant+\infty$ inequality (4) can be replaced by $[1,3]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|P(R z)\|_{p} \leqslant \frac{\left\|1+R^{n} z^{n}\right\|_{p}}{\left\|1+z^{n}\right\|_{p}} \cdot\|P\|_{p} . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

## We prove

Theorem 2. Let $P \in \mathscr{P}_{n}$ and $P(z) \neq 0$ for $|z|<1$. Then (5) holds for all $p \in[0,+\infty]$.
1.4. If

$$
P(z)=\sum_{v=0}^{n} a_{\nu} z^{v} \in \mathscr{P}_{n}
$$

and $a_{u}, a_{v}(u<v)$ are two coefficients such that for no other coefficient $a_{w} \neq 0$ we have $w \equiv u \bmod (v-u)$, then $[14,5,9]$ for every $p \in[1,+\infty]$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{u}\right|+\left|a_{v}\right| \leqslant 2\|P\|_{p} /\left\|1+z^{n}\right\|_{p} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

This result is best possible. We prove
THEOREM 3. In the case $u=0, v=n$, inequality (6) holds for all $p \in[0,+\infty]$.

Remark. It may be mentioned that inequality (6) in its full generality does not extend to $p \in[0,1)$. To see this let

$$
P(z)=(1+z)^{4}=1+4 z+6 z^{2}+4 z^{3}+z^{4}
$$

Then the pair of indices $(u, v)=(1,3)$ is clearly admissible. But $\left|a_{1}\right|+\left|a_{3}\right|=8$, whereas for $p=\frac{1}{2}$,

$$
2\|P\|_{p} /\left\|1+z^{n}\right\|_{p}=\frac{8}{\|1+z\|_{1 / 2}}<\frac{8}{\|1+z\|_{0}}=8
$$

As an application of Theorem 3 we mention.
Corollary. Consider a polynomial $\prod_{v=1}^{n}\left(z-\zeta_{v}\right)$. Then, for $1 \leqslant k \leqslant n$ and all $p \in[0,+\infty]$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\zeta_{1} \zeta_{2} \cdots \zeta_{k-1}\right|+\left|\zeta_{k} \zeta_{k+1} \cdots \zeta_{n}\right| \leqslant 2\|P\|_{p} /\left\|1+z^{n}\right\|_{p} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

This result extends Theorem 2 in [10] and lends itself to the kind of applications mentioned therein.

## 2. A Lemma

For $\gamma=\left(\gamma_{0}, \ldots, \gamma_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ and

$$
P(z)=\sum_{v=0}^{n} a_{v} z^{v}
$$

we define

$$
\Lambda_{y} P(z)=\sum_{v=0}^{n} \gamma_{v} a_{v} z^{v}
$$

The operator $\Lambda_{\gamma}$ is said to be admissible if it preserves one of the following properties:
(i) $P(z)$ has all its zeros in $\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z| \leqslant 1\}$,
(ii) $P(z)$ has all its zeros in $\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z| \geqslant 1\}$.

Lemma [2, Theorem 4]. Let $\phi(x)=\psi(\log x)$, where $\psi$ is a convex non--decreasing function on $\mathbb{R}$. Then for all $P \in \mathscr{P}_{n}$ and each admissible operator $\Lambda_{i}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \phi\left(\left|A_{\gamma} P\left(e^{i \theta}\right)\right|\right) d \theta \leqslant \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \phi\left(c(\gamma, n)\left|P\left(e^{i \theta}\right)\right|\right) d \theta \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c(\gamma, n)=\max \left(\left|\gamma_{0}\right| .\left|\gamma_{n}\right|\right)$.
In particular, the lemma applies with $\phi: x \mapsto x^{p}$ for every $p \in(0,+\infty)$ and with $\phi: x \mapsto \log x$ as well. Therefore we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|A_{\eta} P\right\|_{p} \leqslant c(\gamma, n)\|P\|_{p} \quad(0 \leqslant p<+\infty) . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3. Proofs of the Theorems

Proof of Theorem 1. According to a theorem of Laguerre as stated in [4], if $P \in \mathscr{P}_{n}$ does not vanish in $K:=\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z|<1\}$, then

$$
n P(z)-(z-\zeta) P^{\prime}(z) \neq 0 \quad \text { for } \quad z \in K \text { and } \zeta \in K
$$

Setting $\zeta=-e^{i x_{z}}$, we readily see that the operator $\Lambda$ defined by

$$
\Lambda P(z):=\left(e^{i \alpha}+1\right) z P^{\prime}(z)-n e^{i x} P(z)
$$

is admissible and so by (9)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|\left(e^{i x}+1\right) \frac{d}{d \theta} P\left(e^{i \theta}\right)-i n e^{i x} P\left(e^{i \theta}\right)\right|^{p} d \theta \leqslant n^{p} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|P\left(e^{i \theta}\right)\right|^{p} d \theta \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $p>0$. Rearranging the left-hand side of (10) and integrating the inequality with respect to $\alpha$ on $[0,2 \pi]$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|\frac{d}{d \theta} P\left(e^{i \theta}\right)\right|^{p} \cdot\left|1+e^{i \alpha} R(\theta)\right|^{p} d \theta d \alpha \leqslant 2 \pi n^{p}\|P\|_{p}^{p} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
R(\theta):=\left(\frac{d}{d \theta} P\left(e^{i \theta}\right)-\operatorname{in} P\left(e^{i \theta}\right)\right) / \frac{d}{d \theta} P\left(e^{i \theta}\right)
$$

It is known [4, Theorem 2] that if $P(z) \neq 0$ for $|z|<1$, then $|R(\theta)| \geqslant 1$, and therefore by a theorem of Hardy

$$
\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|1+e^{i \alpha} R(\theta)\right|^{p} d \alpha \geqslant \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|1+e^{i \alpha}\right|^{p} d \alpha
$$

for all $\theta \in[0,2 \pi]$. Using this in (11), the desired result follows immediately for $p>0$. The extension to $p=0$ is obtained by continuity.

Proof of Theorem 2. For $R \geqslant 1$ and $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$, the polynomial

$$
\sum_{v=0}^{n}\binom{n}{v}\left(R^{v}+e^{i v} R^{n-v}\right) z^{v}
$$

has all its zeros on the unit circle (see [8, Problem 26, p. 108]). Hence, if

$$
P(z)=\sum_{v=0}^{n} a_{v} z^{v} \in \mathscr{P}_{n}
$$

does not vanish for $|z|<1$, then by Szegö's convolution theorem [13] the same is true for

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Lambda P(z): & =\left(1+e^{i \gamma} R^{n}\right) a_{0}+\left(R+e^{i \gamma} R^{n-1}\right) a_{1} z+\cdots+\left(R^{n}+e^{i \gamma}\right) a_{n} z^{n} \\
& =P(R z)+e^{i \gamma} R^{n} P(z / R)
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore $\Lambda$ is an admissible operator. Applying (9) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|P\left(R e^{i \theta}\right)+e^{i \gamma} R^{n} P\left(e^{i \theta} / R\right)\right|^{p} d \theta \leqslant\left|R^{n} e^{i \gamma}+1\right| \cdot\|P\|_{p}^{p} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $p>0$. Since

$$
f(z):=z^{n} \overline{P(1 / \bar{z})} / P(z)
$$

is holomorphic for $|z| \leqslant 1$ with $|f(z)|=1$ on the unit circle, it follows from the maximum principle that $\left|f\left((1 / R) e^{i \theta}\right)\right| \leqslant 1$ for $1 / R<1$ and so

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|R^{n} P\left(e^{i \theta} / R\right) / P\left(R e^{i \theta}\right)\right| \geqslant 1 \quad(R \geqslant 1) . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, integrating (12) with respect to $\gamma$ on $[0,2 \pi]$ and using (13), the desired result is obtained in the same way as Theorem 1.

Proof of Theorem 3. The operator $\Lambda$ defined by

$$
\Lambda\left(\sum_{v=0}^{n} a_{v} z^{v}\right):=a_{0}+a_{n} z^{n}
$$

is obviously admissible. Hence by (9)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|a_{0}+a_{n} e^{i n \theta}\right|^{p} d \theta \leqslant \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|\sum_{v=0}^{n} a_{v} e^{i v \theta}\right|^{p} d \theta \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $p>0$. From the inequality

$$
\left|\frac{1+r e^{i \theta}}{1+e^{i \theta}}\right| \geqslant \frac{1+r}{2}
$$

we deduce that

$$
\left|a_{0}\right|+\left|a_{n}\right| \leqslant 2\left(\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|a_{0}+a_{n} e^{i \theta}\right|^{p} d \theta / \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|1+e^{i \theta}\right|^{p} d \theta\right)^{1 / p} .
$$

Using this in conjunction with (14), the desired result follows.
The corollary is obtained by applying Theorem 3 to the polynomial

$$
Q(z):=P(z) \prod_{j=k}^{n}\left(\frac{\zeta_{j} z-1}{z-\zeta_{j}}\right) .
$$
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